Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,451	UENO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Louisa Lao	1621	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Active</u>	
(1) <u>M. Louisa Lao</u> .	(3)		
(2) Bruce Kramer, Esq.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>6/20/07;7/17/07</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)		
Part I.	·		
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 5- 6; 8-10			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET see continuation sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substant of the interview, since the interview.	ice
		·	
	•		
			=
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature, – if appropriate)	

June 20, 2007 Claims 5 and 6 amended to overcome duplicate language.

July 17, 2007 Claim 8 amended to reflect dependence on claim 1.